

ADM: M

30 DEC

DINO SAYS THAT THIS  
PAPER HAS BEEN RE-  
WRITTEN AS REQUESTED.

DINO WOULD PREFER  
THAT [REDACTED] REVIEW  
IT BEFORE IT IS SENT  
FORWARD. [REDACTED] RE-  
TURNS TO DUTY 5 JAN.

Declass  
Review by  
NIMA/DOD

25X1

RE-SUSPEND FOR 6 OR

7 JAN ??

TAC.

~~Sig A. T. C.~~ 25X1

Call Dino

18 Dec 67

Comments on Indicator planning;  
ILLEGIB

[Redacted]

2. Sounds to me like whatever reply we prepare should be signed jointly by [Redacted] & NPIG, or should indicate in last para. that [Redacted] concurs. 25X1

3. I do not understand why we must go through all that background stuff in the first 3 pages -- perhaps the references give some clue?

4. Depending on the requirement indicated in the references,

75 [redacted] 25X1  
 I want a terse, to-the-point memo which says how we shall be about responding to [redacted]

JLEGIB

[redacted]  
 a legitimate first-phase WTC responsibility and attaches the lists of indicators.

- I want the memo & the lists to be coordinated with [redacted] and DIA & CIA intell. production offices before it goes forward.

5. I question the listed indicators which I have marked.

6. See my other marginal queries, comments & editorial on the memo.

